# Repetition reduction across multiple mentions in ASL signs

AURORA MARTINEZ DEL RIO

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

LINGUISTIC SOCIETY OF AMERICA 2023

## Overview

#### Goal:

 Determine what strategies are used by signers to reduce core signs in American Sign Language (ASL) within the context of repetition

#### Motivation:

- Fit ASL reduction processes within theories of language production
- Add depth to our understanding of how modality shapes articulation



#### 

## Background: Repetition reduction

#### **Repetition reduction:** Repeated forms exhibit reduction







GIF from: https://www.lifeprint.com/asl101/pages-signs/m/movie.html

# Background: Repetition reduction

**Repetition reduction:** The *givenness* of a word influences its articulation such that words already that are given, and thus more predictable, within the discourse are reduced

>Largely treated as a binary, given vs. new distinction<sup>1</sup>

**Explanation:** Language users can articulate repeated forms more efficiently because their existing support within the discourse context aids comprehension<sup>2</sup>

1. Fowler & Housum 1978, Bell et al. 2009 2. Fowler & Housum 1978, Lindblom 1990, Aylett & Turk 2004

## Background: Repetition in context

**Explanation:** Language users can articulate repeated forms more *efficiently* because of their existing support within the discourse context aids *comprehension*<sup>1</sup>



These can be modulated by *modality* 

1. Fowler & Housum 1978, Lindblom 1990, Aylett & Turk 2004

# Background: Duration reduction

Duration reduction: Duration provides a gradient measure of reduction that encompasses multiple processes including deletion and coarticulation

#### Spoken words:

- Reduction occurs between 1<sup>st</sup> and subsequent mentions, but doesn't continue following second mentions<sup>1</sup>
- Increased distance between repetitions associated with less reduction<sup>2</sup>

#### ASL signs:

• Reduction likely at least occurs between 1<sup>st</sup> and subsequent mentions<sup>3</sup>

## Background: Duration reduction



AUSTRALIA - Mention 1: 634ms

AUSTRALIA - Mention 2: 367ms

Example from: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T7-Gw3-7drk

## Background: Repeated movements

Internal segment reduction: Provides a categorical measure of reduction and encompasses the total deletion of a segment.

Spoken languages:

- Segment deletion more likely in higher predictability contexts<sup>1</sup>
- ASL Signs:
- Maybe occurs?

## Background: Internal movements



MONEY - Mention 1: 600ms



MONEY - Mention 2: 216ms

Example from: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fFoU8bCoGI0

# Background: Why does this matter?

Reduction processes could change the type and quality of information available in the linguistic signal

Could impact how people perceive and understand these signs

#### **Duration:**

• Decreased duration means less substance available to decode the linguistic signal

#### **Repeated movements:**

- Provide redundancy in the signal
- •Can also provide lexical or morphological information, for example sometimes distinguishing between noun-verb pairs<sup>1</sup>

# Methods: Corpora

Two study corpora, encompassing a set of narrative retellings, elicited in a lab environment, and a naturalistic corpus drawn from online sources:

#### **Tweety Corpus:**

- •Corpus of retellings of the Canary Row Corpus by 14 signers of ASL
- •Includes 889 tokens of 75 different signs

**Online Corpus:** 

- •Corpus of online videos, encompassing vlogs, educational and news stories, comprising videos from 30 signers of ASL
- •1013 individual tokens of 188 signs



# Methods: Corpora

Two study corpora, encompassing a set of narrative retellings, elicited in a lab environment, and a naturalistic corporus drawn from online sources:

**Tweety Corpus:** 

- •Corpus of retellings of the Canary Row Corpus by 14 signers of ASL
- •Includes 889 tokens of 75 different signs

#### **Online Corpus:**

- Corpus of online videos, encompassing vlogs, educational and news stories, comprising videos from 30 signers of ASL
- 1013 individual tokens of 188 signs

Images from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uhVofTNP-kU and https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1nupnlwjgk0&t



## **Results:** Duration

Log-duration of signs across mentions



Analysis showed:

•Significant reduction between 1<sup>st</sup> and subsequent mentions

## **Results:** Duration

Log-duration of signs across mentions



Analysis showed:

•Significant reduction between 1<sup>st</sup> and subsequent mentions

AND

between 2<sup>nd</sup> and subsequent mentions

•Reduction following 3<sup>rd</sup> mentions was not significant

•Online dataset signs significantly longer

# Results: Duration and distance between mentions

Duration vs. distance between signs



Analysis showed:

•Significant relationship between distance between mentions and duration

Farther apart = less reduction

# Results: Movement repetitions across mentions



Analysis of signs with repeated movements showed:

 Significant difference between mentions in number of movements

 Later mentions exhibited fewer movements

# Results: Duration and movement repetitions



Analysis, showed:

•Signs that lost an internal movement in comparison to their first mentions were significantly shorter Discussion: Results overview

### **Duration** – shaped by:

#### **Mention number:**

• Duration reduces significantly between 1 vs 2+ AND 2 vs 3+ mentions

#### **Distance between mentions:**

• More distance = less reduction

#### Loss of movement repetitions:

• Resulted in shorter signs!

## Movement repetitions - shaped by:

#### **Mention number:**

 Increased likelihood of loss of repeated movements at later mentions

## Discussion: Implications

### **Duration** reduction:

Although duration reduces across multiple mentions, only significant for early mention numbers

• Might indicate a potential limit on the degree to which signs reduce

Less duration = less material to aid comprehension of the signal

## Repeated movement reduction:

Removes a degree of redundancy and, possibly, morphological information from the linguistic signal

 This can potentially impact comprehension → people might have to rely more on context

## Conclusion

By focusing on how core signs reduce in the context of repetitions we gain a more detailed picture of how increased predictability shapes the production of ASL.

This shows patterns in both gradient and categorical reduction processes, which have the potential to shape the perception of these forms.

## Acknowledgements

### Many thanks to...

Diane Brentari (Project advisor)

Elena Gill (RA extraordinaire)

The University of Chicago's Center for Gesture Sign and Language (Project funders)

## References

Aylett, M., & Turk, A. (2004). The smooth signal redundancy hypothesis: A functional explanation for relationships between redundancy, prosodic prominence, and duration in spontaneous speech. *Language and speech*, 47(1), 31–56.

Bell, A., Brenier, J. M., Gregory, M., Girand, C., & Jurafsky, D. (2009). Predictability effects on durations of content and function words in conversational English. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 60(1), 92–111.

Brentari, D. (1998). A prosodic model of sign language phonology. MIT Press.

Coetzee, A. W., & Kawahara, S. (2013). Frequency biases in phonological variation. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory*, 31(1), 47–89.

Fowler, C. A., & Housum, J. (1987). Talkers' signaling of "new" and "old" words in speech and listeners' perception and use of the distinction. Journal of memory and language, 26(5), 489–504

Grosjean, F. (1979). A study of timing in a manual and a spoken language: American Sign Language and English. Journal of Psycholinguistic Research, 8(4), 379-405.

Hoetjes, M., Krahmer, E., & Swerts, M. (2014). Do repeated references result in sign reduction? Sign Language & Linguistics, 17(1), 56–81.

Lindblom, B. (1990). Explaining phonetic variation: A sketch of the h&h theory. In Speech production and speech modelling (pp. 403–439). Springer.

Rodriguez-Cuadrado, S., Baus, C., & Costa, A. (2018). Foreigner talk through word reduction in native/non-native spoken interactions. Bilingualism: Language and cognition, 21(2), 419–426.

Supalla, T., & Newport, E. (1978). How many seats in a chair? The derivation of nouns and verbs in American Sign Language. Understanding Language through Sign Language Research, 91–132.

Turnbull, R. (2015). Assessing the listener-oriented account of predictability-based phonetic reduction (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). The Ohio State University.

Vajrabhaya, P., & Kapatsinski, V. (2011). There Is More to the Story: First-mention Lengthening in Thai Interactive Discourse. In ICPhS (pp. 2050-2053).